

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

HD:hd

Docket No: 02892-99

20 August 1999

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: CDR WWW. Subject CDR WWW. CDR WWW

REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 dtd 28 Apr 99 w/attachments

(2) PERS-85 memo dtd 11 Jul 99

(3) PERS-822 memo dtd 18 Jul 99

(4) PERS-43 memo dtd 28 Jul 99

(5) Subject's naval record

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that the applicable naval record be corrected to reflect that he was not retired on 1 March 1999; that he has served on active duty continuously after that date; and that he was promoted to captain with the date of rank and effective date he would have received, had he not left active duty.
- 2. The Board, consisting of Messrs. Bishop, Pfeiffer and Taylor, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 12 August 1999, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Petitioner retired from the Navy on 1 March 1999 as a commander after 22 years of service. His reason for requesting retirement was that he did not believe he was promotable to captain. The Fiscal Year (FY) 00 Captain Unrestricted Line Selection Board, which met before he was to retired, recommended him for promotion, which would have allowed him to complete 30 years of naval service. He asserts that had he known of his selection, he would not have requested retirement. However, the results of the selection board were not announced until 7 April 1999, after he had retired and was therefore no longer eligible for promotion.

- c. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), PERS-85, the Navy Personnel Command (NPC) office with cognizance over active duty officer promotions, has commented to the effect that Petitioner's request has merit and warrants full approval. PERS-85 has advised that his projected promotion date, if his petition is approved, is 1 December 1999.
- d. In correspondence attached as enclosure (3), PERS-822, the NPC office with cognizance over officer retirements, also recommended approval of Petitioner's request to remove his name from the retired list, return him to the active duty list, and allow his promotion to captain to proceed as scheduled.
- e. In correspondence attached as enclosure (4), PERS-43, the NPC office with cognizance over aviation officer distribution, has highly recommended approval of Petitioner's request.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosures (2), (3) and (4), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action:

RECOMMENDATION:

- a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that he was not retired on 1 March 1999, but served on active duty continuously after that date; and that he be reinstated to active duty accordingly.
- b. That PERS-85 be notified of this action, so that Petitioner's promotion pursuant to his selection by the FY 00 Captain Unrestricted Line Selection Board can be effected when due.
- c. That any material or entries inconsistent with the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed or completely expunged from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.
- d. That a copy of this Report of Proceedings be filed at an appropriate location in Petitioner's naval record, and that another copy of this report be returned to this Board, together with any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's record, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose.

4. Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(c)) it is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder JONATHAN S. RUSKIN

Soneton de Partin

Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

W. DEAN PFEIR Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

5420 Ser 85/137 11 Jul 99

MEMORANDUM FOR BCNR

Via: BUPERS/BCNR Coordinator

Subj: CDR WILLIAM B. STEDMAN, USN (RET.), 073-46-2836/1310

Encl: (1) BCNR File

- 1. Enclosure (1) is returned recommending approval of CDR Series request to remove his name from the retired list and place his name on the active duty list resulting from his selection to Captain from the FY-00 Active Captain Unrestricted Line Promotion Selection Board.
- 2. CDR tired from active duty on 1 March 1999. The regular selection board, which met before he was to be retired, recommended him for promotion, however, results of the board had not been released prior to his effective retirement date.
- 3. It is our determination that CDR request has merit and warrants approval. Recommend his request be forwarded to Officer Retirements Branch (PERS-822) for further comment regarding the validity and approval authority of member's request.

BCNR Liaison, Officer Promotions and Enlisted Advancements Division



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

1811 PERS-822 18 Jul 99

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: Assistant for BCNR Matters, Pers-00ZCB

Subj: COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATION ICO CDR

RET

Ref: (a) NPC memo 5420 PERS-00ZCB of 14 Jul 99

Encl: (1) BCNR file

- 1. Reference (a) requests comments and recommendations in subject officer's case. Specifically, Petitioner requests his name be removed from the retired list, placed on the active duty list and allow his promotion to Captain to proceed as scheduled.
- 2. CD abmitted for voluntary retirement date of 1 March 1999 on 1 September 1998. Member's request was approved and retirement orders issued 21 January 1999. On 7 April 1999, CDA name appeared on the FY-00 active duty captain line selection list.
- 3. In view of the information above, we recommend be allowed to return to active duty and complete his time required as a Captain. Enclosure (2) is returned.

Branch Head Officer Retirements



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE

MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

5420 PERS-43 28 Jul 99

From: Head Aviation Officer Distribution Branch (PERS-43)

To: Executive Director, Board for Correction of Naval Records

Via: Assistant for BCNR Matters (PERS-00ZCB)

Subj: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN CASE OF CDR

N, USN(RET),

Ref: (a) Your memo of 20 Jul 99 w/encl

1. In response to reference (a), PERS-43 reviewed BCNR file 02892-99. CDR etired IAW Navy Regulations and there exists no requirements to alert separating officers of promotion results prior to release of the ALNAV message. However, due to inaccurate advice from the aviation detailing office which influenced retirement request timing and so obvious desire to serve the Naval Service further, request his name be removed from the retired list and placed on active duty. Accordingly, his promotion to Captain should proceed as scheduled.

2. Highly recommend approval of BCNR request.

